

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CRUISE LINES INTERNATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION ALASKA, et al.

v.

CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU,  
ALASKA, et al.

JUDGE H. RUSSEL HOLLAND

CASE NO. 1:16-cv-0008-HRH

PROCEEDINGS: ORDER FROM CHAMBERS

---

---

Defendant has moved for oral argument<sup>1</sup> on its motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction.<sup>2</sup> The motion for oral argument is granted.

The court has completed its initial review of the parties' briefs and is ready to schedule oral argument. The "when" will depend in part on "where" argument will be conducted. Plaintiffs' attorneys reside in Washington, D.C., and Anchorage, Alaska. Defendants' attorneys reside in Juneau, Alaska. Counsel will please confer; and if the consensus is that counsel wish to attend oral argument in person, then counsel will please advise the court where they prefer to have oral argument (Anchorage or Juneau). While having in-person argument is more desirable than telephonic argument, the court is not averse to scheduling telephonic oral argument, especially where (as here) the logistics of telephonic argument would be much simpler than in-person argument. Counsel will please advise by August 29, 2016.

---

<sup>1</sup>Docket No. 26.

<sup>2</sup>Docket No. 18.